WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.			
	Emili	ano Hernandez-Jimenez	Case Number:	15-9077MJ	
was pro	esent a	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 nd represented by counsel. I conclude the detention of the defendant pending to	y a preponderance of the evidenc		
I find by	y a prep	conderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
	\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
		There is a record of prior failure to app	pear in court as ordered.		
		The defendant attempted to evade law	v enforcement contact by fleeing fr	om law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximum o	f years im	prisonment.	
Court a	The C	ourt incorporates by reference the mate me of the hearing in this matter, except a	rial findings of the Pretrial Services s noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
		CC	NCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of conditi		pearance of the defendant as required.	
		DIRECTIO	NS REGARDING DETENTION		
pending order o	rrection g appea f a cou shall de	efendant is committed to the custody of a sacility separate, to the extent practical al. The defendant shall be afforded a react of the United States or on request of a seliver the defendant to the United States	ole, from persons awaiting or serving asonable opportunity for private con attorney for the Government, the Marshal for the purpose of an app	ng sentences or being held in custody insultation with defense counsel. On e person in charge of the corrections	
APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility					
District from th objection	er a co Court. e date ons with	py of the motion for review/reconsiderating Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.Pof service of a copy of this order or after the district court. Failure to timely file of CRIM.P.	on to Pretrial Services at least one ., effective December 1, 2009, Dei the oral order is stated on the reco	e day prior to the hearing set before the fendant shall have fourteen (14) days ord within which to file specific written	
	Servic	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to es sufficiently in advance of the hearing investigate the potential third party custo	before the District Court to allow P		
DATE:	F	ebruary 27, 2015	9	Swillet	
				Eileen S. Willett	
			Un	ited States Magistrate Judge	